

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JIMMY EKPE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, THE
DEPARTMENT FOR THE AGING, CARYN
RESNICK, SAL RULLAN, KAMLESH
PATEL, JACK RIZZO, JOHN DOE(S) and
JANE DOE(S)(names currently unknown) each
in his/her official and individual capacities,

Defendants.

MARLENA LATIF,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, THE
DEPARTMENT FOR THE AGING, CARYN
RESNICK, SAL RULLAN, KAMLESH
PATEL, JACK RIZZO, JOHN DOE(S) and
JANE DOE(S)(names currently unknown) each
in his/her official and individual capacities,

Defendants.

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 12/14/2021

20 Civ. 9143 (AT)

20 Civ. 8248 (AT)

ORDER

Plaintiffs Jimmy Ekpe and Marlena Latif each bring actions against the City of New York, the Department for the Aging (“DFTA”), and individual employees of DFTA, alleging claims under, *inter alia*, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e *et. seq.*, and parallel claims under New York state and city civil rights statutes. *See generally* Ekpe Am. Compl., No. 20 Civ. 9143, ECF No. 38 Latif Am. Compl. No. 20 Civ. 8248, ECF No. 39. On June 23 and 24, 2021, Ekpe and Latif filed motions seeking to allow them to intervene in each other’s cases and in *Toussaint v. City of New York*, No. 19 Civ. 1239; or, in the alternative, to consolidate the three cases. Ekpe Mot., No. 20 Civ. 9143, ECF No. 42; Latif Mot., No. 20 Civ. 8248, ECF No. 43.

Plaintiffs' motions are DENIED with prejudice to renewal. The Court shall issue a memorandum opinion stating the reasons for its judgment in due course. The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motions pending at No. 20 Civ. 8248, ECF No. 43,.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 14, 2021
New York, New York



ANALISA TORRES
United States District Judge